Question 72: Current economics drive unit operation to minimum slurry production limits, as defined by physical properties and rundown velocities/flow rates. What are your typical slurry limits, and what are your options to overcome those limits to further reduce slurry?

DINKEL [Marathon Petroleum Corporation (MPC)]

This question is about slurry fouling, as well as minimizing slurry. The table on the left is a summary of key parameters we watch for slurry fouling, including monitoring minimum velocities in both the piping circuit and heat exchangers. We track heat exchanger fouling, whether that is heat transfer coefficients, if the instrumentation is in place, or by completing pressure surveys to keep an eye on pressure differential across the exchangers. We also monitor the physical properties of the slurry.

Q-72



Typical Slurry Limits

2017 Summit FCC Q&A

In 2014, we did a slurry study with Baker Hughes. We sent in samples from each of our units, and they

were put through a battery of tests. This is shown in the middle column under the header of TAR (total adherent residue) number. It is a Baker Hughes fouling potential number, and it is actually derived from multiple analyses that feed into determining this fouling potential. Most people talk about slurry gravity results and risk of fouling the circuit. The key takeaway here is that if you rank by the TAR number versus individual analysis results, there are different risk ranking orders. You can see that the second highest risk unit we have actually has zero gravity and would have been ranked as the lowest risk. So, the takeaway here is that you cannot rely on just one piece of information. You need to be looking at multiple analyses in the system.

Q-72

	API Gravity (°)	Viscosity (cP) @ 130F	TAR #1	SI ²	PNA %	Bottoms T (°F)
А	-0.2	95	10	118.1	1.9	650
в	-0.9	139	12.1	112.0	4.0	680
С	-0.8	130	12.4	132.8	5.1	660
D	0.0	400	19.9	124.8	6.9	690
Ε	-1.0	229	21.6	123.1	9.0	685
F	-3.3	386	40.6	48.4	13.9	655
G	0.0	278	51.6	19.8	11.7	670
н	-1.4	564	115	10.2	15.8	670

2014 Slumy Fouling Study by Baker Hughes

Typical Slurry Limits

Notes:

1. TAR# - Fouling Potential

2. Stability Index

2017 Summit FCC Q&A

On the next slide, I show an example from one of our units that has done this evaluation of multiple pieces of data. Over about a six-month period of time, this unit was using its APC (advanced process control) to slowly step down the slurry gravity by collecting data multiple times during the week and doing a cross-plot of the viscosity versus the gravity. You can see that as you step down on this slurry gravity, you reach a point where that viscosity takes off exponentially. Coupled with this slurry property data, the unit is also monitoring fouling indications and heat transfer coefficient and using that data together to select the optimum operating point on the unit.

Typical Slurry Limits



2017 Summit FCC Q&A

The next slide covers the part of the question about some of the options you have to address. One option is rather expensive: dropping LCO down to slurry. It will increase your flow rates in the system, as well as downgrade LCO to slurry, which is a less valuable product. We had some success using a fouling additive to extend run-lengths on exchangers. If you have parallel exchangers, a sparing strategy is another option to use if you are low on velocity and can afford to take out one of those parallel exchangers. You can increase velocity. Last is the rundown recycle loop, which is setting up a recycle loop all the way to tankage and back to the unit. We have looked at this in detail in one unit. Ultimately, we did not end up pursuing it just because of the distance of the tankage from the unit. You are talking about quite a bit of pipe insulation and heat tracing. This option may possibly also require a new pump or exchanger to keep the material hot and prevent it from setting up.

Typical Slurry Limits

- Options to Address Slurry Minimization Limits:
 - · Drop LCO to Slurry
 - Fouling Additive
 - Sparing Strategy
 - Rundown Recycle Loop

2017 Summit FCC Q&A

FOOTE (CHS Inc.)

I will just go through a couple of the limits we have seen associated with minimizing slurry. One is when we did see some polymerization in one of our units of our main column bottoms. It showed up as a rapid exponential loss in flow and heat exchange; and when they pulled off the dollar plates of the main column steam generator, they just saw little shiny black plugs in the first inlet pass. They went about two inches down the tube sheet. We pulled them out and were then able to start back up. That unit now has a limit of about 650°F on the main column bottoms, which impacts the slurry make. We have also seen instability in the HCO pumparound circuits. So, here, as the liquid flows below light cycle draw and goes way down, you will be minimizing that slurry make. Sometimes that heavy cycle oil section becomes unstable, either through leaking or maldistribution of your return liquid. We have had upsets where we lost that pumparound and had it upset a debutanizer as a result. So, you have to drop LCO down and reinventory that section.

One solution there is to have a total draw on the LCO, which can be the most expensive option. The next most expensive option is V-notch weirs with level control (a poor man's total draw tray design). Picket-fence weirs can be your last solution, just to improve distribution in the fractionator to make sure you maintain like 3 gpm (gallons per minute) per inch on your weir loading. We also saw the PNA cycle up the 'red death'. So, know what that looks like when you start going to that mode and know when you are getting into that situation.

FEDERSPIEL (W.R. Grace & Co.)

Aside from the very clear impact a catalyst has on slurry yield, it can also play a role in tray fouling minimization by ensuring that you have converted enough of the naphthene aromatics out of the slurry to avoid further contributing to fouling in the bottom circuit. So, if you want to avoid having to downgrade LCO to the slurry, ensure that your maximum conversion of the available slurry molecules – and particularly the naphthene aromatics – will impact the solubility of the PNAs. Lastly, of course, as you are going through the exercise of minimizing slurry or maintaining sufficient flow-out, you will cycle up the catalyst in the bottom circuit, which will be important to monitor for any erosion of the slurry circuit.

Q-72

Slurry Minimization

- · Catalyst formulation plays a role in slurry minimization
- Matrix

· Metals traps

· Coke selectivity

- · Mesopore distribution profile
- Maintain sufficient slipstream when recycling to avoid circulating slurry fines concentration

2017 Summit FCC Q&A

MELVIN LARSON (KBC Advanced Technologies, Inc.)

With regard to the tower you mentioned, if the unit has an HCO pumparound where HCO is the first sidedraw from the bottom of the column, then KBC advises having a hot pump-back reflux system. By taking hot HCO draw and pumping a portion directly below the draw tray, the unit is assured of having internal reflux at a critical location in the tower. KBC has seen a number of units operate dry in this section of the tower, which has resulted in poor fractionation.

BOB LUDOLPH [Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc.]

When it comes to fouling, I will add that we have considered slurry residence time in the past; that is, looking at how much time the slurry product spends in the bottoms circuit, including the circulating lines. The dependence of fouling on residence time was not strong but, nonetheless, was found to be a contributor for any given bottoms temperature being maintained. So, it may be worth considering for your unit.

BRYAN DINKEL [Marathon Petroleum Corporation (MPC)]

It is fair to say that past, current, and future economics will almost always call for the minimization of slurry production. As slurry production is minimized, the risk increases to foul exchangers or plug lines due to low velocities. Additionally, as slurry is minimized, the quality of the slurry will degrade, which will also increase the risk of fouling. The following table summarizes key monitoring points. Some are easier to track on a regular basis at refinery labs rather than sending them to an outside lab.

Table 72-1. Key Parameters for Slurry Fouling

PARAMETER	VALUE
Piping Velocity, fps (feet per second)	5-10
Exchanger Velocity, fps	4.5-10
Heat Exchanger Fouling (U Coefficient and/or dP	unit-dependent
API Gravity	unit-dependent
Viscosity @ 130°F [cP (centipoise)]	>350
Solids Content, ppmw	>3,000
PNAs % (C5 Insolubles)	>5.5
TAR #	>15

As an example of the recommended velocity windows, the following figure summarizes average heat exchanger velocities and where they fit in relation to the recommended limits. The limits are established based on minimizing fouling and settling at low velocities and erosion at higher velocities. There are several exchangers that are well below the recommended 4.5 fps minimum, and which do not have accelerated fouling issues, primarily because they are on units with a sufficient amount of LCO content to inhibit fouling, or because they are on exchangers late in the train and have lower inlet temperatures. Additionally, some of them have parallel exchangers that allow for online cleaning when needed.



Figure 72-1. Slurry Exchanger Tube Velocities versus Recommended Range

We have determined that no one physical property is a good indicator of fouling risk when comparing units, which is due to the differences in feed quality and operating conditions of each unit. The table below summarizes data produced by Baker Hughes for a 2014 study of our eight units at the time. The results of this study show relative ranking of slurry circuit fouling potential. The TAR# is the most telling analysis from Baker Hughes' review of the unit slurry properties which accounts for multiple analyses into an index. You can see that the ranking of TAR# does not produce the same ranking order as the individual Stability Index (SI), polynuclear aromatics (PNA) content, viscosity, or API gravity.

	API Gravity (°)	Viscosity (cP) @ 130°F	Solids ppm	Coke %	Catalyst %	TAR #1	SI2	PNA %	Bottoms T (°F)
А	-0.2	95	2,435	5	95	10	118.1	1.9	650
В	-0.9	139	1,287	5	95	12.1	112.0	4	680
С	-0.8	130	476	6	94	12.4	132.8	5.1	660
D	0	400	1,010	10	90	19.9	124.8	6.9	690
E	-1	229	493	9	91	21.6	123.1	9	685
F	-3.3	386	3,232	39	61	40.6	48.4	13.9	655

G	0	278	8,665	86	14	51.6	19.8	11.7	670
Н	-1.4	564	26,973	98	2	115	10.2	15.8	670

Table Notes:

- 1. TAR# is defined as a measure of fouling potential based on correlations between refinery fouling and slurry analysis data.
- 2. Stability Index (SI) is defined as a polynuclear aromatics (PNA) stability test which determines the point of PNAs becoming unstable and precipitating out of the sample.

We recommend that units empirically determine their optimum operating point by conducting step tests on a regular frequency, particularly when feed or operating conditions change drastically. We have a couple of units that are fully utilizing their advanced controls to help drive this on a consistent basis. Figure 72-2 illustrates results from a step test conducted on one of our units. This test shows the relationship for their unit between API gravity and viscosity. The other piece of information required to tie into the evaluation was the monitoring of slurry exchanger fouling. Utilizing this curve and an economic assessment of fouling impact versus LCO recovery determined the optimum operating point.



Figure 72-2. Slurry Gravity versus Viscosity: Commercial Example

Apart from downgrading LCO to slurry pool to maintain velocities and/or properties, these are a few options we have implemented or considered to address fouling in slurry circuits:

- Fouling Additive: We have one unit that trialed an additive which doubled the run-length between required exchanger cleanings.
- Sparing Strategy: If multiple exchangers operate in parallel and the velocity is too low, you can evaluate the system hydraulics and tube velocities to determine if one or more exchangers can be removed from service and placed in standby. We have multiple units that have successfully implemented this strategy as part of their exchanger fouling solutions minimization strategy. Refer to 2014 Cat Cracker, Question 12 regarding discussion on setting exchangers in standby. It was a lengthy question. Herb Telidetzki (Tesoro Corporation) provided a response about placing exchangers on standby.
- Rundown Recycle Loop: We studied the option of installing a rundown recycle loop back from tankage to the unit battery limits on one unit and plan to review the same option on a couple of

our other units. For this particular FCC, the distance of the product tank from the unit was costprohibitive with regard to required piping, insulation, heat-treating, and heater requirements.

MICHAEL FEDERSPIEL (W.R. Grace & Co.)

The current economic landscape favors slurry minimization if it can be properly upgraded to liquid products. Optimized FCC units generally operate in a delicate balance between LCO maximization and slurry circuit fouling. Slurry circuit fouling is primarily dependent on temperature, residence time, and composition of the slurry product within the system. From a design perspective, minimizing the residence times within the slurry circuit should be a critical focus to help establish enhanced slurry minimization flexibility. Most of the residence time within the system – defined as overall slurry circuit volume divided by the net slurry product rate – will correspond to the liquid volume within the column. Therefore, the main column diameter and slurry pump NPSH (net positive suction head) requirements should be properly optimized to minimize the liquid level requirements within the column. All heat exchanger manifolds, and key slurry circuit equipment should also exhibit as compact of a plot area as possible, while preserving adequate maintenance accessibility to the key equipment. Maximizing the amount of spare or redundant exchangers along the slurry circuit can also help further push the envelope of slurry minimization by enabling prompt onsite cleaning of fouled exchangers without hindering unit throughput or conversion severity.

With respect to mitigating slurry circuit fouling, industry Best Practices recommend adhering to the following set of process conditions and regimes:

- Slurry product gravities lighter than -2 to -5°API,
- Slurry viscosities lower than 25 cSt (centistokes) at 212°F,
- Main column bottom temperatures below than 680 to 700°F,
- Heptane insoluble content below 2 wt%,
- Slurry piping and exchanger velocities between 4 and 10 fps,
- Reactor vapor line velocities higher than 100 fps, and/or
- Minimum allowable main column bottoms level while providing sufficient response times and operating flexibility in the event of an emergency.

The referenced guidelines are general and will be largely dependent on the FCC feedstock properties. Paraffinic feedstocks tend to yield less thermally stable slurry products, thus enhancing the importance of the residence time and temperature profiles. Alternatively, heavy aromatic feedstocks tend to yield more thermally stable bottoms products that provide slightly greater operating flexibility along the limits of the cited process regimes. The use of a slurry quench can help push the composition limits while complying with the temperature limitations. However, it should be noted that this approach sub-cools the slurry product within the column, thus enhancing the importance of slurry composition monitoring via lab data or bubble point monitoring.

A side stripper for the slurry product can significantly expand the slurry minimization flexibility by mitigating slurry fouling hazards. This design configuration helps drop more of the LCO material towards the slurry cut within the column since most of the LCO components can be recovered through the downstream side stripper. This approach helps lower the composition and temperature of the bottoms liquid level, which typically represents a large majority of the residence time within the overall slurry system. From a piping and exchanger velocity standpoint, additional flexibility can be obtained by installing a spillback loop from the slurry rundown line to the net slurry product train inlet. This type of spillback can help sustain exchanger velocities higher than 4 fps throughout periods of deep bottoms conversion or during turndown operation.

The catalyst formulation also plays a significant role in the overall slurry minimization strategy. Sufficient matrix area should be provided to properly upgrade the bottoms product catalytically rather than thermally. Further conversion selectivity can be pursued through coke-selective catalyst options that employ strategic metal traps and mesopore distribution profiles. Some units operating with light or highlycrackable feedstocks have pursued cracking the slurry towards extinction through a combination of catalyst formulation, reactor severity, slurry circuit fouling mitigation, and slurry recycles to the riser. When operating in deep bottoms-upgrading regimes, it becomes critical to maintain a slipstream at all times to properly flush the catalyst fines out of the system. Failure to do so can lead to excessive fines concentrations in the circulating slurry, resulting in suppressed exchanger duties and accelerated erosion within the system.

DARIN FOOTE (CHS Inc.)

At CHS, we operate two units with highly hydrotreated feed. One operates in full-burn operation, and the other operates in partial-burn. The full-burn unit utilizes slurry recycle to maintain regenerator temperature high enough meet CO emissions. In this unit, we recycle slurry to near extinction. We have not encountered any cycling up of fines in the slurry circuit. In systems where both cyclones are healthy, the slurry fines are pushed to the regenerator via the slurry recycle loop. Table 1 lists a few of the limits we have encountered when trying to minimize slurry make.

Table 1: Limits Encountered While Minimizing Slurry Make

LIMIT Polymerization of Slurry in the **Bottoms Exchange**

PROBLEMS This limit appears to be feed dependent. Both of our units run similar feed sulfur/nitrogen, but only one of them has experienced run 650°F or less in the MC

OPTIONS TO OVERCOME

Monitor slurry viscosity regularly for marked increase from baseline. In this particular unit, we typically

	polymerization. It shows up as an exponential loss of heat exchange and flow in the MCB circuits.	bottoms to avoid this condition, which impacts slurry fractionation. Reducing operating level in the MCB can also reduce residence time.
HCO PA Circuit	During periods of low slurry make, the liquid flow from the LCO draw is unstable. This instability has caused us to lose the HCO PA (debutanizer reboiler) for a period of time until we can cut the LCO draw sufficiently to wet the HCO section and reestablish PA flow.	Fractionator design: Consider a
Rundown Velocity/Volume	In our unit where we use slurry recycle, we have to set limits on recycle to ensure we maintain flow to storage and sufficient exchanger velocity. We have set the minimum slurry to storage limit at 1 to 1.5%vol.	Bring a MCB quench/recycle line back from slurry tankage. This practice will increase traffic in the rundown line and allow the unit to recycle slurry to extinction when t feed quality allows. The long-term slurry recycle rate can be set by tank level.
SIS Isolation of Recycle Slurry to the Riser	In our unit where we recycle slurry back to the riser, we have had problems achieving a tight shutoff for our SIL-rated isolation valve towards the end-of-run. In one instance, we leaked slurry by the valve to the riser after hydrocarbon was diverted, which caused a temperature excursion in the regenerator on initial circulation with steam.	Valve design/redundancy
Polynuclear Aromatics (PNAs) Cycling Up When Sending FCC Slurry to the Coker	At one of our sites, we have seen PNAs cycle up when sending slurry to the coker. The PNAs cycled up in the HCGO and impacted catalyst life in the GOHT. At its peak, it manifested as a red color in the hydrotreated gasoil.	Monitor the hydrotreater operation.

Print as PDF:

TagsCatalystsCokerEmissionsFeed QualityFoulingHeat ExchangersOperationsProcessRegeneratorSafety Instrumented Systems (SIS)Tankage

Year

2017